## EU renewable energy targets: the case of transport fuels

JEC Biofuels Programme – Overview of results



### A joint study by JRC / EUCAR / CONCAWE

 – 3<sup>rd</sup> PROMITHEAS Conference, Athens 7-8 October 2010 – Laura Lonza, Scientific Officer
European Commission DG Joint Research Centre

- 1. JEC Biofuels Programme in a nutshell
- 2. Background to the Study
- 3. "Fleet & Fuels" Model
- 4. Reference Case
- 5. Implementation Scenarios
- 6. Biofuel Supply Outlook
- 7. Summary of results
- 8. Contributors and contacts







# 1. JEC Biofuels Programme in a nutshell

### Technical exercise to assess scenarios for achieving EU renewable energy targets in the transport sector by 2020 Objectives

- Opportunities and barriers to 10% renewable energy in transport by 2020
- Integrated approach / focus on road transport
- "Fleet & Fuels" Model as main supporting tool
- Conventional, alternative fuels and biofuel blends
- Consensus demand and supply picture
- Seamless to consumers / no negative impacts on vehicle performance and emissions
- Projections and market data 2008-2020

**Disclaimer:** This exercise is not intended to commit JEC partners to deliver any particular scenario or conclusion included in this study.





# 1. In a nutshell...key messages

- Scenario analysis is characterised by **objectives**:
  - □ Technical feasibility of RED<sup>\*</sup>) target with associated calculation of FQD<sup>\*\*</sup>) target
  - Assumptions on vehicles/fleet, fuels and renewables projections
  - Excluded aspects: viability, costs, or logistics / commercial readiness
  - □ All transport modes with a focus on road transport
- Producing given <u>outcomes</u>:
  - □ Scenarios exist that achieve the RED transport target
  - □ None of these scenarios achieves the minimum FQD target
- Realisation of scenarios depend on:
  - □ Biofuel supply
  - □ Standardisation, vehicle compatibility and pace of introduction
  - Compatibility of the supply and distribution system for all fuel products
  - □ Non-road contributions to RED-%
  - Each scenario needs policy measures (including incentives) to enable a smooth transition from today to the "theoretically achievable" projections
- Much more technical work is needed to ensure <u>feasibility</u> of these scenarios and compatibility with upcoming Euro 6 emissions limits

\*) RED: EU Renewable Energy Directive (*Dir 2009/28/EC*)

\*\*) FQD: EU Fuel Quality Directive (Dir 2009/30/EC)







# 2. Background: A Short History

The JEC research collaboration was initiated in 2000 by

- JRC: Joint Research Centre of the European Commission
- EUCAR: European Council for Automotive R&D
- CONCAWE: Research Association of the European Oil Refining Industry

### **Collaborative Projects**

- 2000-2007: Projects Completed
  - □ Well-to-Wheels (WTW) Study Versions 1, 2b, and 2c
  - WTW Study Version 3: enhancing pathways and vehicles
  - □ Impact of ethanol on vehicle evaporative emissions (SAE 2007-01-1928)
  - Impact of ethanol in petrol on fuel consumption and emissions (report in preparation)
- 2008-2011: Projects In-progress
  - □ 2009-11: Major revision of WTW Study (Version 4)
  - **2008-10: JEC Biofuels Programme for a 2020 time horizon**

 $\rightarrow$  All reports are freely available in the Download section of the JEC website

http://ies.jrc.ec.europa.eu/about-jec







# 2. Coming Decade for European Road Transport

### > Vehicles:

- □ More advanced engines & aftertreatment, diversification in engines and fleet
- □ Fuel consumption of LD vehicles falling, HD diesel demand slightly increasing
- □ Increasing pressure on CO<sub>2</sub> emissions with associated higher cost
- Customer preferences potentially in conflict with mobility policies

### Refineries:

- Increasing diesel/gasoline demand ratio
- □ Higher CO<sub>2</sub> emissions due to diesel demand and product specifications
- **\square** Increasing pressure on CO<sub>2</sub> emissions with associated higher cost

### Biofuels and other Renewables:

- **Renewables in transport fuels mandated to 10% (energy basis) by 2020**
- Conventional biofuels widely available but with sustainability concerns
- □ Slower than expected pace of development for advanced biofuels
- Pace/priorities differ across Member States, potentially leading to fuel diversity
- CEN specifications are struggling to keep pace with legislative mandates

EUROPEAN COMMISSION DIRECTORATE-GENERAL Joint Research Centre





# 2. Current Projections of Transport Demand

EU27+2 Transport Energy Demand: [Mtoe]	2008 EuroStat	2020 JEC F&F Reference Scenario	2020 DG TREN (1)
On-Road	303	281	350
Diesel	188	186	
Light Duty		69	
Heavy Duty incl. Vans		117	
Gasoline	100	66	
Biofuels	10	21.5	
Other: CNG, LPG, electricity	5	7.8	
Rail (Diesel & Electricity)	9.5		10
Aviation	54		73
Inland navigation	6.5		6
Other off-road (Diesel)	14	20 *)	
Total	387	390 **)	419

Studies used to base case JEC projections

- DG TREN(\*\*)
- □ TREMOVE
- □ iTREN2030
- Wood Mackenzie, Global Biofuels Outlook (\*)

#### "Apples to apples" comparisons not always straightforward among studies







DG TREN: "European Energy and transport trends to 2030, Update 2007

# 2. EU Regulatory Environment

Renewable Energy Directive (RED)

□ Member States to meet 10% renewable energy in transport by 2020

- □ Biofuels sustainability and GHG savings per energy unit
- Fuels Quality Directive (FQD)

Fuel suppliers to achieve at least -6% GHG from fuels in 2020
E10 main grade / E5 'protection grade' for older vehicles

### Vehicle Regulated Emissions

Light-duty (LD) passenger cars: Euro 5/5b to 2014, Euro 6 from 2015 onwards
Heavy-duty (HD) vehicles: Euro V to 2013; Euro VI from 2014 onwards

### Vehicle CO<sub>2</sub> Emissions

- □ LD passenger cars: new vehicle fleet average 130g/km by 2015 / review of 2020 targets
- Light Commercial Vehicle (LCV) fleet: new fleet average 175g/km by January 1<sup>st</sup> 2016, review of 2020 targets (*proposed*)







## 3. 'Fleet & Fuels' Model: Model Overview

A spreadsheet-based model to

simulate EU27+2 vehicle fleet development and fuel demand

Different combinations of vehicles / fuels to assess different scenarios

- □ Total fuel demand and diesel/gasoline balance
- □ Total biofuels demand, including ethanol and biodiesel, HVO, etc
- □ Total renewable energy demand, including electricity, biogas, etc
- □ Renewable energy demand for road transport (RED)
- **GHG** emissions reduction (FQD Article 7a)

Parameters relevant to fuel demand included:

- □ Passenger car, van, bus and coach and heavy-duty truck demand
- □ Vehicle efficiency and improvement in efficiency over time
- Percentage diesel in new car sales
- □ Introduction of alternative vehicles (FFV, LPGV, CNGV, electric vehicle, etc.)
- □ Vehicle model year (vintage) assumed compatible with fuel grade







### 3. 'Fleet & Fuels' Model: Example Model Outputs



### 3. 'Fleet & Fuels' Model: Example Model Outputs



#### Road transport fuel demands

Reference Scenario (1) including the impact of the economic recession

Reference Scenario <u>excluding</u> the impact of the economic recession

Reproduction permitted with due acknowledgement

**EUROPEAN COMMISSION** DIRECTORATE-GENERAL Joint Research Centre





### 4. Reference Case: Alternative Fleet Parameters

Alternative Fuel Passenger Cars	In 2020 N	lew Sales	In 2020 Vehicle Fleet <sup>1</sup>		
Flex-Fuel Vehicles (FFV)	1	1%		0.5%	
Compressed Natural Gas Vehicles (CNGV)	4% 0.8 Million		2% ~5 Million		
Liquefied Propane Gas Vehicles (LPGV)	2' 0.4 M	% 1illion	2% ~5 Million		
Electric Vehicles Battery Electric (BEV) & Plug-in Hybrid (PHEV)	3' 0.6 M	% 1illion	1% 2.7 Million		
Alternative Fuel Vans	In 2020 New Sales		In 2020 Vehicle Fleet <sup>1</sup>		
Compressed Natural Gas Vehicles (CNGV)	4%		1.7%		
Liquefied Propane Gas Vehicles (LPGV)	1%		0.4%		
Flex Fuel Vehicles (FFV)	1%		0.3	3%	
Electric Vehicles Battery Electric (BEV) & Plug-in Hybrid (PHEV)	2% 24 Thousand		0.4% 90 Thousand		
	In 2020 New Sales				
Alternative Fuel Heavy Duty Vehicles	3.5t to 7.5t	7.5t to 16t	16t to 32t	Bus-Coach	
Compressed Natural Gas Vehicles (CNGV)	2%	1%	1%	5%	
Di-Methyl Ether Vehicles (DMEV)	==	==	0.5%	==	
95% Ethanol (E95) Vehicles	==	==	1%	2%	

1: Cars in 2020 from TREMOVE baseline: 270 million in vehicle fleet; 20 million in new car sales

Reproduction permitted with due acknowledgement







### 4. Reference Case: Results

Road fuel (Mtoe)	2005	2010	2020									
Fossil Gasoline to car	118	87	66		Energy demand by fuel type in road transport sector							
Fossil Diesel to car	58	61	69			,,,,,	,					
Fossil Diesel to HD	123	114	117	350								
Sum fossil Diesel	181	175	186						Electricity			
Diesel to Gasoline ratio (road only)	1,5	2,0	2,8									
CNG	0,42	0,85	3,26	300								
CBG			0,82						Biofuel			
LPG	4,17	3,32	3,24	[]								
FAME	1,50	11,90	12,80	¥ 250								
HVO	0,00	1,00	3,00	E.					LPG			
BTL	0,00	0,00	0,25	ŭ an								
DME	0,00	0,00	0,09	Ê 200 .								
Total Ethanol	0,72	2,47	5,32	de					□ CNG			
EtOH conv.	0,72	2,47	4,68	0 150								
EtOH Adv.	0,00	0,00	0,64	1.20 E								
"Fossil" Electricity	0,00	0,00	0,28	ad					Diesel to HD			
Renewable Electricity			0,15	ິ <sub>6</sub> 200 −								
Sum road fuel demand	306	281	281	-					Diesel to I D			
RED Contributions				50								
Non-road			1,0%	50								
Road			8,6%						Gasoline			
Sum RED-%			9,7%	0	┼┻╷┛╷┛	╶╺┛╷┛╷┛╷┛╷┛	└╷┛╷┛╷┛╷┛╷┛	╤┻╤┻╤┻╡╘				
FQD GHG saving			-4,4%		2005	2010	2015	2020				

#### Results comparing 2010 and 2020:

- Fossil demand changes:
  - □ Gasoline demand decreases by 24%
  - Diesel demand increases by 6%
  - Diesel demand increases 13% for LD and 3% for HD
  - Diesel/gasoline ratio increases from 2.0 to 2.8
- Large biofuel volumes will be needed, increasing demand for CNG & CBG
- RED: 9.7% with 1.0% contribution from non-road sectors
- FQD: -4.4% GHG emissions savings reached







### 4. Reference Case: Results



#### Alternative fuel demand results:

- □ FAME dominates biofuel market
- □ FAME demand increasing to 2010 driven by B7 specification
- □ Ethanol demand increasing to 2010 driven by E5 specification
- Ethanol demand increasing beyond 2010 driven by E10 introduction
- HVO and BTL demand follow availability assumptions (backward compatible not grade dependent)
- CNG/CBG demand driven by introduction of CNGV mainly in LD but also in HD
- > FAME / Ethanol demand by 2020, RED development
  - □ FAME demand in all transport sectors ~15 Mtoe/a, increasing from 1.5 Mtoe (2005), 7.9 Mtoe (2008)
  - □ Ethanol demand ~5 Mtoe, increasing from 0.7 Mtoe (2005), 1.8 Mtoe (2008)

EUROPEAN COMMISSION DIRECTORATE-GENERAL Joint Research Centre





## **5. RED Implementation Scenarios:1-6**

Scenario 1	2009 2010 2011	2012 2013 2014 2015 2016	2017 2018 2019 2020		
Gasoline Grade 1		E5			
Gasoline Grade 2		E10			
Gasoline Grade 3				Scenario 1: Reference Case	
Diesel Grade 1		B7			
Diesel Grade 2					
Scenario 2	2009 2010 2011	2012 2013 2014 2015 2016	2017 2018 2019 2020		
Gasoline Grade 1		E5	E10		
Gasoline Grade 2		E10	E20		
Gasoline Grade 3					
Diesel Grade 1		B7			
Diesel Grade 2					
Scenario 3	2009 2010 2011	2012 2013 2014 2015 2016	2017 2018 2019 2020		
Gasoline Grade 1		E5			
Gasoline Grade 2		E10		Scenarios 2 - 4:	
Gasoline Grade 3					
Diesel Grade 1		B7		High Biofuel Grades all ver	nicles
Diesel Grade 2			B10 (all)		
Scenario 4	2009 2010 2011	2012 2013 2014 2015 2016	5 2017 2018 2019 2020 E10		
Gasoline Grade 1		E3	E10 E20		
Gasoline Grade 2		Elu	E20		
Gasoline Grade 3		D7			
Diesel Grade 1		Ві	B10 (all)		
Diesel Grade 2					
Scenario 5	2009 2010 2011	2012 2013 2014 2015 2016	2017 2018 2019 2020		
Gasoline Grade 1		E5			
Gasoline Grade 2		E10			
Gasoline Grade 3					
Diesel Grade 1		B7			
Diesel Grade 2			B15 (HD)	Scenarios 5 - 6:	
Scenario 6	2009 2010 2011	I 2012 2013 2014 2015 201€	6 2017 2018 2019 2020		
Gasoline Grade 1		E5	E10	I High Biodiesel Grades HD	only
Gasoline Grade 2		E10	E20	•	•
Gasoline Grade 3					
Diesel Grade 1		B7			
Diesel Grade 2			B10 (HD)		
duction permitted	*** E	UROPEAN COMMISSION			07 October
Je	* * DI	IRECTORATE-GENERAL	FUCAR	(C(O))()(C(C))((C))	
wiedgement	*** J	ioint Research Centre	EUROPEAN COUNCIL FOR AUTOMO		Slide

2010

# 5. RED Implementation Scenarios:7-9

Scenario 7	2009 2010	2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016	2017 2018 2019 2020
Gasoline Grade 1		E5	
Gasoline Grade 2		E10	
Gasoline Grade 3		E85	
Diesel Grade 1		B7	
Diesel Grade 2			
Cooperie 9	2000 2010	2011 2012 2012 2014 2015 2016	2017 2018 2010 2020
Scenario 8 Gasolino Grado 1	2009 2010	2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016	2017 2018 2019 2020 E10
Gasoline Grade 1		E3	E10
Gasoline Grade 2		E95	L20
Diesel Grade 1		B7	
Diesel Grade 2		5,	
Dieser Grade Z			
Scenario 9	2009 2010	2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016	2017 2018 2019 2020
Gasoline Grade 1		E5	
Gasoline Grade 2		E10	
Gasoline Grade 3		E85	
Diesel Grade 1		B7	
Diesel Grade 2			B10 (HD)

FFV scenarios feature sales share of 4.5%, resulting in 2.5% FFV-stock (6.5 mil) in 2020

#### Scenario 7:

FFV fleet requires Ethanol supply comparable to scenario 2 (B7, E20) (and same RED-% as in scenario 2).

#### Scenario 8:

□ E20 and FFVs increase the Ethanol demand and the RED-%.

#### Scenario 9:

□ FFV fleet requires Ethanol supply comparable to scenarios 2 & 7.





## 5. RED Implementation Scenarios: Scenario Summary



FAME demand:  $\triangleright$ Ethanol demand: 14.6 to 17.2 Mtoe 5.3 to 9.0 Mtoe

(compared to 5.7 Mtoe in 2007 as per "EurObserv'ER Biofuels Barometer") (compared to 1.2 Mtoe in 2007 as per "EurObserv'ER Biofuels Barometer")

concawe

- **ROAD-%** contribution:  $\geq$
- RED-% (all sectors according to Directive):  $\geq$

For further details of these scenarios refer to section 12.3



 $\triangleright$ 

EUROPEAN COMMISSION DIRECTORATE-GENERAL **Joint Research Centre** 



8.6% to 9.8%

9.7% to 10.9%



## 6. Biofuel Supply Outlook: Demand from scenarios

	Biofuel Type	Demand Outlook (Scenarios)	Demand Outlook (Scenarios & parameter variation)	
Conventional Biofuels	Bio-ethanol from fermentation	Up to 8.5 Mtoe	Up to 12 Mtoe	
	FAME (and FAEE)	Up to 17.5 Mtoe	Up to 19 Mtoe	
Advanced Biofuels	Bio-ethanol from lignocellulose	0.6 Mtoe	1.3 Mtoe	
	Hydrogenated Natural Oils (HVO)	3.0 Mtoe	4.5 Mtoe	
	Biomass to Liquids (BTL)	0.25 Mtoe	0.5 Mtoe	
Other Renewables	Biogas	Up to 0.7 Mtoe	Up to 1.0 Mtoe	
	Electric from renewables	Up to 0.5 Mtoe	Up to 1.0 Mtoe	

- Quantities of bio-components available for European use in 2020...
  - **From domestic production and from imports?**
  - □ From sustainable sources meeting GHG reduction targets?
  - Primary focus on availability, not costs and investments







## 6. Biofuel Supply Outlook: FAME Supply

Supply projection for FAME: domestically produced and imported



FAME Supply Outlook

Source: Wood Mackenzie 'Global Biofuels Outlook' (2009)

Reproduction permitted with due acknowledgement







07 October 2010 Slide 19

## 6. Biofuel Supply Outlook: FAME and HVO



Supply of total HVO and FAME limited by total availability of natural & waste oils

> Imports essential to fully utilise higher biodiesel blends

Supply Projection: Wood Mackenzie 'Global Biofuels Outlook' (2009)

Reproduction permitted with due acknowledgement

EUROPEAN COMMISSION DIRECTORATE-GENERAL Joint Research Centre





## 6. Biofuel Supply Outlook: Conventional Ethanol

Supply projection for ethanol: domestically produced and imported



• Conventional ethanol supply projected to be less than half the volume of FAME supply through 2020 without a major increase in imported ethanol

Reproduction permitted with due acknowledgement EUROPEAN COMMISSION DIRECTORATE-GENERAL Joint Research Centre





Source: Wood Mackenzie 'Global Biofuels Outlook' (2009)



Ethanol demand (max) = highest ethanol demand in all scenarios Imports and development of advanced ethanol key to meeting demand

Supply Projection Wood Mackenzie 'Global Biofuels Outlook' (2009)







- Scenarios exist that achieve EU RED renewable energy target in transport with the given assumptions
- Realisation of the scenarios depend on :
  - **Biofuel supply, especially the availability of sustainable biofuels to Europe**
  - CEN specifications (standardisation), potential vehicle compatibility and pace of introduction
  - Compatibility of supply and distribution system for all fuel products
  - □ Non-road contributions to RED-%, especially HVO/BTL use by the aviation sector
  - Each scenario needs policy measures (including incentives) to enable smooth transition from today to the "theoretically achievable" projections
- Much more technical work is needed to ensure feasibility of these scenarios and compatibility with upcoming Euro 6 emissions limits
  - Multi-stakeholder coordination and timely decisions will be essential
  - Seamless transition is important to ensure continued customer confidence

ILUC\*: Indirect Land Use Change







## 7. Summary: Key Conclusions

#### ≻Vehicles:

- □ Today's vehicles are E10 (from MY2005) and B7 compatible
- Compatibility of vehicles with higher biofuel blends still to be proven: time, testing and investment!

#### ≻Fuels:

- Compatibility of existing logistics infrastructure with higher grades is uncertain
- **FQD GHG target not achieved in the chosen scenarios**
- Coordinated development of CEN specifications is needed for higher grades
- □ Higher blends must be *fully utilised* in order to approach RED/FQD targets

#### ➢Biofuels:

- □ Significant questions regarding sustainability, pace of development, and imports
- Given uncertainties, ethanol/FAME in the range needed for the RED target
- □ Non-conventional biofuel production and HVO/BTL uptake by aviation sector especially important

#### ≻Other Issues:

- □ Attractiveness of different scenarios differ by Member State
- □ Non-road contributions to RED-% important
- D Potential for higher biodiesel blends in non-road transport: require time, testing and investment!
- □ Costs and investments could be significant: not evaluated in study!
- □ Maintaining consumer confidence in fuel and biofuel strategy is critical!







## 8. JEC Biofuels Programme: Contributors



José BARO Nigel ELLIOTT Benoit ENGELEN Gerd HAGENOW Alain HEILBRUNN Liesbeth JANSEN Baudouin KELECOM Michael LANE Jean-François LARIVÉ Seppo MIKKONEN Alan REID John ROGERSON Ken ROSE Pirjo SAIKKONEN Antonella SOPRANZETTI



<u>JRC</u>

Covadonga ASTORGA-LLORENS Robert EDWARDS Laura LONZA Vincent MAHIEU Giorgio MARTINI

Renato ANDORF Thomas BECKER Jean-Christophe BEZIAT Alessandro CODA Ingo DRESCHER Andrea GERINI Simon GODWIN Heinz HASS Eckart HEINL Eberhard HOLDER Günther KLEINSCHEK **Heiko MAAS** Hakan MALMSTAD Beatrice PERRIER Willibald PRESTL Anders RÖJ Ann SEGERBORG-FICK

EUCAR

Reproduction permitted with due acknowledgement





CONCAWE

The study report will be available on the WEB: <u>http://ies.jrc.ec.europa.eu/about-jec</u>

For <u>questions / inquiries / requests / notes</u> to the JEC Consortium, please use the centralised mail address: <u>infoJEC@jrc.ec.europa.eu</u>

Reproduction permitted with due acknowledgement







## Backup: 'Fleet & Fuels' Model: Model Overview

TREMOVE data and other sources used to provide historical input on vehicle fleet

- □ Fleet composition
- □ Fleet fuel economy
- □ Activity (km driven)
- Per vintage
- Separate diesel and gasoline vehicles

Forward-looking input for the development of the fleet to 2020

- New sales, total population, and total activity (km driven)
- □ % diesel of new car sales
- □ Vehicle scrappage rate assumed to follow a typical S-curve
- □ Alternative vehicle fleets (e.g. CNGV, FFV, EV)
- **Fuel economy of new cars is based on NEDC** 
  - 'Real world' factor included to estimate total fuel demand

Impact of the 2008-2009 economic recession factored in:

□ Model incorporates latest HD sales data (ACEA) and iTREN methodology







## Backup: 'Fleet & Fuels' Model: Vehicle and Fuel Options

Seven LD passenger car types (and fuel type options)

- □ Gasoline, Diesel, Flex-Fuel Vehicle (FFV)
- □ Compressed Natural Gas (CNG), Liquefied Propane Gas (LPG)
- □ Plug-in Hybrid Electric Vehicle (PHEV), Battery Electric Vehicle (BEV)

Three Van classes (and fuel type options)

- □ Gasoline (Gasoline, CNG, LPG, xEV)
- □ Small Diesel <2.5 tonnes (Diesel, CNG, LPG, xEV)
- Large Diesel >2.5 tonnes (Diesel, CNG, LPG, xEV)

Five Heavy-duty vehicle classes (and fuel type options)

- □ 3.5 to 7.5 tonnes (Diesel, CNG)
- □ 7.5 to 16 tonnes (Diesel, CNG)
- □ 16 to 32 tonnes (Diesel, CNG, E95, DME)
- $\Box$  > 32 tonnes (Diesel)
- Buses and coaches (Diesel, CNG, E95)

xEV: represents the various electrified vehicles as BEV, PHEV, FCEV







## Backup: 'Fleet & Fuels' Model: Vehicle and Fuel Options

Adjustable parameters that can be changed individually for each vehicle type

- Sales and stock annual growth rate
- □ Vehicle activity: annual km driven (LD, LCV), annual t-km (HD)
- □ Vehicle fuel efficiency
- □ Alternative vehicle 2020 sales share
- □ Alternative vehicle sales start year
- □ % replacement of gasoline or diesel cars by alternative vehicle
- □ % use of alternative fuel in alternative fuel vehicles (e.g. E85 take-up rate for FFV)

Fuels implementation

- Optimistic assumption for biofuel blending at max allowed specification (e.g., 10% v/v ethanol minus 0.1% v/v blending tolerance)
- □ Up to 3 different gasoline grades: 'protection grade', main grade, and E85
- □ Up to 2 different diesel grades: 'protection grade' and main grade
  - For the main diesel grade, market uptake by HD, LCV, LD vehicle and vehicle vintage compatibility can be independently set
- □ Vehicle vintage compatible with each fuel grade
- □ HVO or BTL are included in diesel pool assuming full backward compatibility
- □ Advanced Ethanol (lignocellulose based) is replacing/added to gasoline
- Other Oxygenates (e.g. ETBE): not specifically modeled but would be allowed up to the maximum oxygen specification

Renewable Energy Directive specifics are implemented including "extra credits" for advanced biofuels and renewable electricity

Reproduction permitted with due acknowledgement







# Backup: Non-road Transport Sectors: 2020 Outlook

#### **RED denominator 2020:**

- Road transport energy demand: 281 Mtoe, RED: 275 Mtoe
- □ Rail transport energy demand: 10 Mtoe, RED: 10 Mtoe
- □ Inland navigation energy demand: 6 Mtoe, RED: 6 Mtoe
- Sum denominator RED methodology: 291 Mtoe\*

#### Rail 2020:

- □ ~70% of rail fuel demand by electricity: 7 Mtoe
  - Assuming 35% RES: 2.45 Mtoe: ~0.85% RED\*
- □ ~30% of rail fuel demand by diesel: 3 Mtoe
  - Assuming B7: 0.2 Mtoe: ~0.07% RED\*

#### Inland navigation 2020:

□ 6 Mtoe diesel, B7 in total sector 0.4 Mtoe: ~0.1% RED\*

Aviation 2020: second largest energy share, ~73 Mtoe

Assumption: no contribution

#### Other off-road 2020:

- □ 20 Mtoe, assumption: B7 in total sector: 1.3 Mtoe
- No RED-contribution as other off-road fuel consumption excluded from RED

Fuel demand non-road sectors (Mtoe)	
Rail fuel	
"fossil" Electricity	4,6
Renewable Electricity	2,5
Fossil Diesel	2,8
FAME	0,2
Sum rail	10,0
Aviation fuel	
Gasoline	0,15
Kerosene	72,9
Sum aviation	73,0
Inland navigation fuel	
Fossil Diesel	5.6
FAME	0,4
Sum inl. nav.	6,0
Other off-road fuel	
	18 7
FAME	
Sum other off-road	20.0
	20,0
RED Contributions non-road (%)	
Rail	0,9%
Water	0,1%
Aviation	0.0%
Other off-road	0,0%
Sum RED-% non-road	1.0%

\*: applied in RED calculations for all scenarios







### **Grades in Scenarios:**

Grade	E5	E10	E20	B7	B10	B15
Year of grade introduction	now-2011	2011	2017	now-2011	2017	2017
Cars & Vans compatible from	All	2005	2017	All	2017	None
HD vehicles compatible from				All	2017	2017

### Sensitivity cases:

Grade	E5	E10	E20	B7	B10	B15
Year of introduction			2015		2015	2015
Cars & Vans compatible from			2015		2015	None
HD vehicles compatible from					2015 & All	2015 & All

All scenarios: actual biofuels content is 0.1%v/v less than the maximum specification limit

Reproduction permitted with due acknowledgement



